

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. CONFIRMATION NO. WAKAB70.003AUS 10/642,952 08/18/2003 4157 Kazuo Shoji EXAMINER 20995 KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP KEYS, ROSALYND ANN 2040 MAIN STREET ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER FOURTEENTH FLOOR IRVINE, CA 92614 1621

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/642,952	SHOJI ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Rosalynd Keys	1621

	7		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
•	Rosalynd Keys	1621	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>02 September 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE TH	IS APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Normalization (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date of</li> </ol>	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in liance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	iffidavit, or other evidence with 37 of the compliance with 37 of the	ence, which CFR 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv		e final rejection, whichever	er is later. In no
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later th Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b) MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI ).	f the final rejection. IRST REPLY WAS FILE	D WITHIN TWO
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension of (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>02 September 2005</u>. months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFF dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has be 37 CFR 41.37(a).</li> </ol>	R 41.37(a)), or any extension thereo	of (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid
AMENDMENTS			
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further co</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or</li> <li>(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.2)</li> </ol>	onsideration and/or search (see NC ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally re	TE below); educing or simplifyin	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	, ,,	omnliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant / unonamon	. (. , 02 02 1).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		e, timely filed amendn	nent canceling
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is professed to the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected:  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		vill be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			ance because:
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)	
13.  Other:		Rosalynd Keys	p

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1621

Continuation of 3. NOTE: combining the limitations from at least 3 different claims into one claim raises a new issue that requires further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: they are mainly directed to the amended claims, which are not being entered. Further the Examiner is not persuaded that the catalyst of Imata et al. (JP 03056433) necessarily contains sodium oxide, especially since Imata et al. do not disclose that the catalyst contains sodium oxide and the catalyst disclosed in the Applicants declaration, filed December 20, 2004, is not described as being the catalyst disclosed in Imata et al.